

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/759,342	01/16/2004	Alois Schwarz	WRA-34060	7717
24131 75	90 04/22/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER ANI P O BOX 2480	O GREENBERG, PA	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/759,342	SCHWARZ, ALOIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C Doerrler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-16-2004</u>. 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz in view of either McGregor or Bradshaw.

Schwarz discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a system for supplying heat energy to multiple consumers at different temperature levels using a controllable distributor device with the forward flow lines directly connected to the return lines, substantially as claimed wit the exception of storing thermal energy at various temperatures used in the system. McGregor and Bradshaw each show this feature to be old in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of either McGregor or Bradshaw to modify te thermal energy supply device of Schwarz by adding thermal storage devices for storing thermal energy at different temperatures required for each of the consumers to ensure proper heat levels in the system and reduce energy loss.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz in view of either McGregor or Bradshaw as applied to claims 1-4 and 6-8 above, and further in view of the 2014297 UK patent from the IDS.

Schwarz, as modified, discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a thermal supply system which supplies heat to different consumers at different temperatures and storing thermal energy at respective use temperatures, substantially as claimed with the exception of supplying heat energy into the walls. UK '297 shows this feature to be old in the thermal transfer art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of the '297 UK patent to modify the thermal supply system of Schwarz by supplying heat to the walls of a building to efficiently heat the room.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Miserlis et al, Harnish, Backman et al, Ueno, Perez and Shaw show thermal storage heat pumps with varying heats of thermal storage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,342 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD